## THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

MMAT5000 Analysis I 2015-2016 Suggested Solution to Quiz 1

- 1. (a) Let  $A = \left\{ -\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$  and  $B = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ . Then a < 0 < b for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , but  $\sup A = \inf B = 0$ . Therefore, the statement is false.
  - (b) Let  $A_n = [-(n+1), -n]$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $A_n$  is bounded below, but  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = (-\infty, -1]$ , which is not bounded below. Therefore, the statement is false.
  - (c) A is nonempty, so there exists at least one element a in the set A. By definition,  $\sup A$  and  $\inf A$  are upper bound and lower bound of A respectively. Therefore,  $\inf A \leq a \leq \sup A$ .
- 2. (a) Let  $x \in A$ .

Then,  $x \in (0, x_n)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Therefore,  $0 < x < x_n < 1$ , which means A is bounded above by 1 and bounded below by 0.

(b)

• Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Take  $x = \min\left\{\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \frac{x_1}{2}\right\}$ . Note that  $0 < x \le \frac{x_1}{2} < x$ , so  $x \in (0, x_1) \subset A$ . Also,  $0 < x \le \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$ , so  $\inf A = 0$ .

- Since  $x_n < 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is bounded above and  $\sup\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  exists. Let  $u = \sup\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$ 
  - Let  $x \in A$ , then  $x \in (0, x_n)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Therefore,  $x < x_n \leq u$ , which means u is an upper bound of A.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , then exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $u - \epsilon < x_{n_0} \leq u$ . Take  $x = \max\left\{\frac{x_{n_0} + u - \epsilon}{2}, \frac{x_{n_0}}{2}\right\}$ . Note that  $x \ge \frac{x_{n_0}}{2} > 0$  and  $\frac{x_{n_0} + u - \epsilon}{2}, \frac{x_{n_0}}{2} \le x_{n_0}$  which implies  $x < x_{n_0}$ . Therefore,  $x \in (0, x_{n_0})$ .

Also, we have

$$u - \epsilon < \frac{x_{n_0} + u - \epsilon}{2} \le x < x_{n_0} \le u$$

Therefore,  $\sup A = u$ .

• Case 1: x > 03.

Then y > x > 0 and  $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{y - x} > 0$ .

By Archimedean property, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$n > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{y-x}$$
$$\frac{n}{\sqrt{3}}(y-x) > 1$$
$$\frac{n}{\sqrt{3}}y > 1 + \frac{n}{\sqrt{3}}x$$

By the refined Archimedean property, since  $\frac{n}{\sqrt{3}}x > 0$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$m - 1 \le \frac{n}{\sqrt{3}}x < m$$
$$m \le 1 + \frac{n}{\sqrt{3}}x < \frac{n}{\sqrt{3}}y$$

Therefore,  $\frac{n}{\sqrt{3}}x < m < \frac{n}{\sqrt{3}}y$  and hence

$$x < \frac{m\sqrt{3}}{n} < y$$

• Case 2:  $x \leq 0$ 

 $-x \le 0$ , then  $-x + \sqrt{3} > 0$  and so  $-\frac{x}{\sqrt{3}} + 1 > 0$ . By Archimedean property, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$N > -\frac{x}{\sqrt{3}} + 1$$
$$+ (N-1)\sqrt{3} > 0$$

By using case 1, there exist  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$y + (N+1)\sqrt{3} > \frac{p}{q}\sqrt{3} > x + (N-1)\sqrt{3}$$

and so

$$y > \left(\frac{p}{q} - N + 1\right)\sqrt{3}\right) > x.$$

Since  $\frac{p}{q} - N + 1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ , there exist  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\frac{m}{n} = \frac{p}{q} - N + 1$ . Then, we have  $x < \frac{m\sqrt{3}}{n} < y$ .

4. (a) If  $I_n$  is a sequence of closed and bounded intervals which is nested, i.e.

x

$$I_{n+1} \subset I_n$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

then there exists  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $p \in I_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b) (i) Note that  $I_{n_r}$  is a subsequence of  $I_n$ , so  $I_{n_r}$  is a sequence of closed and bounded intervals. Also  $n_r < n_{r+1}$ , so

$$I_{n_{r+1}} \subset I_{n_{r+1}-1} \subset I_{n_{r+1}-2} \subset \dots \subset I_{n_r}$$

which implies that  $I_{n_r}$  is a nested sequence.

(ii) Note that  $n_r \ge r$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $I_{n_r} \subset I_n$ . Suppose that  $\xi I_{n_r}$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $n_j \ge j$  and so  $\xi \in I_{n_j} \subset I_j$ . Therefore,  $\xi \in I_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .